

# CLIMAX TO QUARREL NEW HONEY BOARD

---

## LACK OF CO-ORDINATION

---

[PER UNITED PRESS ASSOCIATION.]

---

AUCKLAND, Apl. 7.

The differences between the Government and the New Zealand Honey Control Board reached their climax today with the announcement that Mr Wallace W. Nelson, of Otorohanga, had been appointed chairman as the Government representative of an entirely new board. It was also stated that the resignations of the old board—Messrs J. R. Butland (chairman), and P. H. Hillary, both of Auckland, and Mr W. Watson, of Canterbury—had been accepted. The two producers' representatives, have yet to be appointed to the new board, but Mr Nelson and the honey section of the Internal Marketing Department have already assumed the work of the old board.

# CLIMAX TO QUARREL

EVENING STAR, ISSUE 22928, 8  
APRIL 1938, PAGE 15

## Using This Item

Allied Press Ltd is the copyright owner for the Evening Star. You can reproduce in-copyright material from this newspaper for non-commercial use under a [Creative Commons New Zealand BY-NC-SA licence](#). This newspaper is not available for commercial use without the consent of Allied Press Ltd. For advice on reproduction of out-of-copyright material from this newspaper, please refer to the [Copyright guide](#).

It was stated by Mr A. T. Honeyfield, Auckland manager of the Internal Marketing Department, for Mr F. R. Picot, Director of Internal Marketing, that the appointment of Mr Nelson had been approved by the Governor-General on the recommendation of the Minister of Agriculture (Mr W. Lee Martin). The two other members of the board would be appointed shortly and it was intended to follow the past procedure of having one representative of North Island producers and one of South Island producers. The appointments would be made pending arrangements for the election by the producers of their own members.

Mr Nelson said he did not intend entering any controversy with the former members of the board about the reasons for the change in the direction of internal and external marketing of New Zealand honey.

It was stated, however, that there was more than ample evidence to prove that lack of co-ordination in export and domestic marketing, coupled with uncontrolled individual selling, had been producing chaotic conditions which would result not only in the breakdown of the present voluntary marketing system, but would also prove to the detriment of the industry as a whole. Producers had been selling direct to the consumer, the retailer, the wholesaler, to auction marts, to New Zealand Honey, Ltd., and to the Export Control Board.

Mr Honeyfield said that Mr Nelson was a practical bee farmer controlling a large number of hives, and was recognised as one of the most efficient producers in the Dominion. Much of his early experience was obtained at the New South Wales Government apiary. On completion of his term there, he had come to New Zealand 14 years ago and established his present farm at Otorohanga. In addition to his Australian and New Zealand experience, however, he had obtained a thorough knowledge of honey production and marketing methods practised in such countries as Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

For the last five years Mr Nelson had been chairman of directors of New Zealand Honey, Ltd., said Mr Honeyfield, and it had been largely due to his efforts that this producers' marketing company came into existence. Mr Nelson had also taken a keen interest in the National Beekeepers' Association of New Zealand, and he was a past president of the association. During his term of office he had presented important evidence to the inquiry held by the Commission of Agriculture into honey marketing control.